

Washington University Journal of Law & Policy

Volume 29 *Empirical Research on Decision-Making in the Federal Courts*

January 2009

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_journal_law_policy

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 29 WASH. U. J. L. & POL'Y (2009),
https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_journal_law_policy/vol29/iss1/1

This Table of Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School at Washington University Open Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington University Journal of Law & Policy by an authorized administrator of Washington University Open Scholarship. For more information, please contact digital@wumail.wustl.edu.

Washington University Journal of Law & Policy

Volume 29

2009

Table of Contents

Empirical Research on Decision-Making in the Federal Courts

Introduction	<i>Andrew D. Martin</i>	1
A New Look at Judicial Impact: Attorneys' Fees in Securities Class Actions After <i>Goldberger v.</i> <i>Integrated Resources, Inc.</i>	<i>Theodore Eisenberg</i> <i>Geoffrey Miller</i> <i>Michael A. Perino</i>	000
An Examination of Strategic Anticipation of Appellate Court Preferences by Federal District Court Judges	<i>Christina L. Boyd</i> <i>James F. Spriggs II</i>	000
How Should We Study District Judge Decision-Making?	<i>Pauline T. Kim</i> <i>Margo Schlanger</i> <i>Christina L. Boyd</i> <i>Andrew D. Martin</i>	000
The Ideology of Legal Interpretation.....	<i>Sara C. Benesh</i> <i>Jason J. Czarnecki</i>	000
What Is Judicial Ideology, and How Should We Measure It?	<i>Joshua B. Fischman</i> <i>David S. Law</i>	000
Judicial Agenda Setting Through Signaling and Strategic Litigant Responses.....	<i>Vanessa Baird</i> <i>Tonja Jacobi</i>	000
Inquiring Minds Want to Know: Do Justices Tip Their Hands with Questions at Oral Argument in the U.S. Supreme Court?	<i>Timothy R. Johnson</i> <i>Ryan C. Black</i> <i>Jerry Goldman</i> <i>Sarah A. Treul</i>	000

The Intersection of Judicial Attitudes and Litigant Selection Theories: Explaining U.S. Supreme Court Decision-Making	<i>Jeff Yates</i>	000
	<i>Elizabeth Coggins</i>	

Notes

Home Alone: Is This the Best We Can Do? A Proposal to Amend Pending Parental Leave Legislation	<i>Caldwell G. Collins</i>	000
Giving Deference to Inaction: How <i>Geddes v. United Staffing Alliance Employee Medical Plan</i> Compromised an Employee's Right to Meaningful Review Under ERISA.....	<i>Erin Nave</i>	000
Avoiding the Problem of the Commons in a Communist Society: The Role of Water Rights in the Enforcement of Environmental Law in China	<i>Sonja Schiller</i>	000
The Fair Housing Act, Communications Decency Act, and the Right of Roommate Seekers to Discriminate Online.....	<i>Kevin M. Wilemon</i>	000